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#### Abstract

The Coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic is still ongoing in almost all countries in the world. The spread of the virus is very fast because the transmission process is through air contaminated with viruses from COVID-19 patients' droplets. Several previous studies have suggested that the use of chest X -Ray images can detect the presence of this virus. Detection of COVID19 using chest X -Ray images can use deep learning techniques, but it has the disadvantage that the training process takes too long. Therefore, the research uses machine learning techniques hoping that the accuracy results are not too different from deep learning and result in fast training time. The research evaluates three supervised learning methods, namely Support Vector Machine (SVM), K-Nearest Neighbor (K-NN), and Random Forest, to detect COVID-19. The experimental results show that the accuracy of the SVM method using a polynomial kernel can reach $\mathbf{9 0 \%}$ accuracy, and the training time is only $\mathbf{4 6 2} \mathbf{~ m s}$. Through these results, machine learning techniques can compensate for the results of the deep learning technique in terms of accuracy, and the training process is faster than the deep learning technique. The research provides insight into the early detection of COVID-19 patients through chest X-Ray images so that further medical treatment can be carried out immediately.
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## I. Introduction

THE COVID-19 virus was first identified in Hubei, China, at the end of 2019 [1]. This virus continues to spread in almost all countries globally until it becomes a new pandemic [2]. This virus spreads quickly because it is transmitted through air contaminated with the virus from droplets of infected patients [3].
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This virus belongs to the SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV viruses that cause acute respiratory symptoms to the most severe, which cause death. Symptoms of this virus include fever, cough, sore throat, muscle pain, and shortness of breath [4].

One of the accurate techniques in diagnosing the COVID-19 virus is using the Real-time Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-PCR) swab. Checking is done by taking mucus or fluid from the nasopharynx (the part between the nose and throat), oropharynx (the region between the mouth and throat), or the lungs of patients suspected of being infected with the COVID19 virus. Other diagnostic techniques use Computed Tomography (CT) and X-Ray for early detection of COVID-19 [5]. Patients who experience COVID-19 symptoms for ten days will show signs of pneumonia in their lungs [6].

Several studies are related to the diagnosis of being infected with the COVID-19 virus using CT scan data of the patient's lungs. Some of these studies show that CT scans or X-Ray images of the patient's lungs are useful data for medical personnel to diagnose and monitor COVID-19 patients. For example, the trials of 81 COVID-19 patients prove that they can effectively detect COVID-19 in symptomatic patients [7]. In another study, chest X-Ray images can be used to estimate the long-term health of patients [8]. In addition, chest CT scan images can be used to monitor the condition of COVID-19 patients during the treatment process [9].

The field of Artificial Intelligence is often used to process image data. Several studies use CT scan data in processing COVID-19 patients' lungs. An example is using deep learning for COVID-19 detection. It results in an accuracy of $86.93 \%$ [10]. Then in another study [11], the detection of COVID-19 using the Deep Residual Network method produces a reasonably ac-
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curate accuracy of $99 \%$. This deep learning method is entirely accurate because the training process also takes a long time. Therefore, in the research, it analyzes the use of a method that the training process is not too long using machine learning.

Machine learning is a branch of Artificial Intelligence [12]. There are two types of machine learning: supervised and unsupervised. In the research, supervised learning is used because the data are labeled. Supervised learning methods include Support Vector Machine (SVM), K-Nearest Neighbor (K-NN), and Random Forest. In other cases, these three methods yield $90 \%$ to $95 \%$ accuracy for classifying land cover [13]. Then in another previous study, the SVM, K-NN, and Random Forest methods can be used to see the satisfaction of Shopee users. The study results in an accuracy of $89 \%$ for the K-NN method, $83 \%$ for Random Forest, and $89.4 \%$ for SVM [14]. Based on previous research, these three methods produce reasonably good accuracy. Therefore, the research analyzes the accuracy and training speed of the three methods. The research is expected to provide insight into the use of machine learning techniques for early detection of COVID-19 through CT scan images of the lungs.

## II. Research Method

The COVID-19 disease detection system begins with acquiring CT scan data of lungs infected with COVID-19, healthy (normal) lungs, and pneumoniainfected lungs. The data obtained are pre-processed first to prepare them for the feature extraction stage. In the research, the pre-processing process is color conversion (Red, Green, Blue (RGB)) to grayscale and image resizing. Furthermore, the results of the pre-processing performed feature extraction using the Histogram of Oriented Gradient (HoG) method. The feature extraction results are used for the classification process using the supervised learning SVM, K-NN, or Random Forest methods. The research evaluates the accuracy and training speed of the three classification methods. Figure 1 shows the research stages.

## A. Data Acquisition

The research uses the COVID-19 chest X-ray dataset from previous studies [15, 16]. A research team has collected this dataset from Qatar University, Qatar, and Dhaka, Bangladesh. They have collaborated with Pakistan, Malaysia, and medical personnel to create a Chest X-Ray dataset for COVID-19 patients, a healthy Chest X-Ray, and a bacterial Chest X-Ray known as pneumonia (viral pneumonia). The number of datasets used in the research is 500 in each class. The dataset format is a file with a PNG extension. The original


Fig. 1. Steps of research on COVID-19 detection systems.


Fig. 2. Example of the dataset in the research (a) COVID-19 (b) Normal (c) Viral Pneumonia.
data is still in RGB format. Then, the distribution of training data and testing data is $80 \%$ and $20 \%$, so the number of testing data is 100 in each class. Figure 2 shows an example of the dataset used in the research.

## B. Data Pre-Processing

Before the feature extraction process is carried out, the original dataset needs to be pre-processed to adjust the input to the feature extraction method. The research uses the HoG method as feature extraction. The HoG method uses a grayscale input image. Therefore, the original data is converted from RGB to grayscale. Then, the converted image is resized to speed up the training process. The research uses $64 \times 64$ for image resolution.
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Fig. 3. Illustration of Support Vector Machine (SVM) method.

## C. Feature Extraction

The feature extraction used is the HoG method. Each image will have its gradient distribution. This distribution is obtained by dividing the image into small regions or cells. Each cell consists of a histogram of the gradient. The combination of histograms will be used as a characteristic of classifying the disease in the patient's lungs.

The initial stage of HoG feature extraction is to use the input image that has been converted into a grayscale. The image is calculated for the gradient value in each pixel. The following process determines the number of bins for making histograms, better known as the spatial orientation binning process. Prior to the gradient calculation process, the chest X-Ray image is divided into several cells grouped into a larger size or known as a block. The normalization process for blocks uses Rectangular Histogram of Oriented Gradient (R-HoG) for overlapping blocks [17].

## D. Classification

Supervised machine learning is a technique for grouping data according to labels. In the research, images are grouped according to labels, namely COVID19, normal, and viral pneumonia. The label classifies the data into these three lung conditions. The research uses three classification methods: SVM, K-NN, or Random Forest.
First, SVM is a supervised machine learning invented by Vapnik and his colleagues [18]. At first, SVM can only be used to classify two classes, but now it can classify more than two classes (multiclass) using the One-vs-Rest (OvR) technique [19]. This OvR stage creates one class as a positive class and another as a negative class. Then, the binary classifier is applied to each class. Figure 3 shows an illustration of the use of SVM in the research.

In the prediction of multiclass SVM, a maximum value is calculated from each class comparison [20].


Fig. 4. Illustration of K-NN method.

Equation (1) shows the multiclass SVM formula. It shows $W_{K D}$ as the feature vector from the generated model, $X_{D}$ as the feature vector from the testing image, and $s_{K}$ as class prediction results.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
W_{11} & W_{12} & W_{13} & . . & W_{1 D}  \tag{1}\\
W_{21} & W_{22} & W_{23} & . . & W_{2 D} \\
. & . \ddot{ } & . . & . . & . . \\
W_{K 1} & W_{K 2} & W_{K 3} & . . & W_{K D}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
X_{1} \\
X_{2} \\
X_{3} \\
. . \\
X_{D}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
s_{1} \\
s_{2} \\
s_{3} \\
. . \\
s_{K}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Second, the training process of K-NN uses a multidimensional vector of the feature space in each data and its label. The new feature vectors and data label classes will be matched with the stored feature and class databases in the testing phase. The selection of the K value on the K-NN dramatically affects the accuracy results. If the number of classes is even, the K value must be odd. Moreover, vice versa, if the number of classes is odd, the K value must be even [21]. Figure 4 illustrates the use of the K-NN method in the research.

If the COVID-19 class is the most common classification for the K-NN in this space, the point is designated as a COVID-19 class. Neighbors are often determined using the Euclidean distance and Eq. (2) to see whether it is near or far. It shows $X_{i}$ as the training data from each class, $Y_{i}$ as the testing data, and $n$ as the number of training data.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dist}(X, Y)=\sqrt{\sum_{i-1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-Y_{i}\right)^{2}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Third, the Random Forest method is a predictive model method often used for classification [22]. Random Forest creates a random decision tree with a certain number of trees. The decision tree contains the features of each class. The decision or classification
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Fig. 5. Illustration of Random Forest method.
process is taken from the majority of the decision tree voting decisions. Then, Fig. 5 illustrates the use of the Random Forest method in the research.

In Fig. 5, for example, the number of trees is 3. Then, each node in the tree is a feature of each class. From the three trees, two normal classes and one COVID-19 class are obtained, so it can be concluded that the result of the Random Forest method is the normal class.

## E. System Evaluation

The COVID-19 detection system is evaluated with a confusion matrix using the Python confusion_matrix library from Scikit Learn Python [23]. The evaluation matrix in the research uses recall, precision, F1-score, and accuracy. The research evaluates the parameters of the supervised machine learning, SVM, K-NN, and Random Forest methods, to get the best results. In addition, it also examines the training speed of each method.

## III. Results and Discussion

The COVID-19 virus detection system through chest X-Ray images is evaluated based on the accuracy and speed of the training program. The research evaluates three supervised learning methods. There are SVM, KNN, and Random Forest.

## A. Training Data Using Support Vector Machine (SVM)

The SVM method uses a kernel that contains mathematical functions. The kernel transforms the input into a specific format, so the model output is not good when the transformation is incorrect [24]. The research conducts experiments on the three kernels: Linear, Polynomial, and RBF. Table I shows the experimental results using the SVM method.

Based on Table I, the best results are obtained using a Polynomial kernel. Image data input makes the formed features significant in number, so Linear or straight-line kernels produce the lowest accuracy

TABLE I
Experiment Results Using Support Vector Machine (SVM) Method.

| SVM Kernel | Accuracy | Processing time |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Linear | $87.00 \%$ | 507 ms |
| Polynomial | $90.00 \%$ | 462 ms |
| RBF | $89.67 \%$ | 482 ms |


|  | precision | recall | f1-score | support |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 0.85 | 0.88 | 0.86 | 104 |
| 2 | 0.87 | 0.84 | 0.85 | 86 |
| 3 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 110 |
|  |  |  | 0.90 | 300 |
| accuracy |  |  | 0.90 | 300 |
| macro avg | 0.90 | 0.89 | 0.90 | 300 |

Fig. 6. Recall, precision, F1-score, and accuracy values using Support Vector Machine (SVM).
compared to the other two kernels. The Polynomial kernel is also the fastest in terms of training speed, which only takes 462 ms to classify the three classes. Figure 6 shows the value of the confusion matrix using a Polynomial kernel.

Figure 6 shows that the recall and precision values are more than 0.8 in each class. The result makes the lowest F1-Score value 0.85 . Precision is the ratio of correctly predicted positive observations to the total predicted positive observations. Meanwhile, the recall ratio is the number of accurately predicted positive observations divided by the total number of observations in the actual class - yes [25]. Implementing this multiclass SVM algorithm results in high precision and recall. The high precision of SVM multiclass with a Polynomial kernel implies that it returns more relevant results than irrelevant ones. Then, high recall refers to the algorithm's ability to retrieve the most relevant results (whether irrelevant ones are also produced or not).
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| TABLE II <br> Experiment Results Using K-Nearest Neighbor (K-NN) Method. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Experiment Results Using Random Forest Method. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Number of trees |  | Accuracy | Processing time |  |
|  | SVM Kernel | Accuracy | Processing time |  |  | 301 ms |  |
|  | 2 | 82.67\% | 283 ms |  | 40 |  | 85.67\% | 394 ms |  |
|  | 4 | 82.67\% | 285 ms |  | 60 | 86.33\% | 624 ms |  |
|  | 6 | 84.67\% | 299 ms |  | 80 | 86.33\% | 806 ms |  |
|  | 8 | 81.67\% | 283 ms |  | 100 | 87.00\% | 924 ms |  |
|  | 10 | 80.67\% | 283 ms |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | precision | recall | f1-score | support |
|  | precision | recall | f1-score support |  | 10.81 | 0.84 | 0.82 | 104 |
|  | 10.84 | 0.70 | 0.76 |  | 20.82 | 0.79 | 0.80 | 86 |
|  | 20.76 | 0.83 | 0.79 析 |  | $3 \quad 0.96$ | 0.96 | 0.96 | 110 |
|  | $3 \quad 0.92$ | 1.00 | 0.96110 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | accuracy macro avg |  |  | 0.87 0.86 | 300 300 |
| accuracy macro av |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll}0.85 & 300 \\ 0.84 & 300\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { vg } & 0.87 \\ \text { vg } & 0.87\end{array}$ | 0.86 0.87 | 0.86 0.87 | 300 300 |
| macro avg weighted avg | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { vg } & 0.84 \\ \mathrm{vg} & 0.85\end{array}$ | 0.84 0.85 | $\begin{array}{ll}0.84 & 300 \\ 0.84 & 300\end{array}$ | weighted avg | vg 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 300 |

Fig. 8. Recall, precision, F1-score, and accuracy values using Random Forest.

Based on Table III, the best results are achieved with 100 trees. However, the more trees there are, the slower the speed will be. On the other hand, the higher the number of trees is, the higher the accuracy value will be. Then, the results of the calculation of the confusion matrix results, namely recall, precision, F1-score, and accuracy using 100 trees, are shown in Fig. 8.

The experimental results using 100 trees in the Random Forest show quite good results. The values of precision and recall in each class are not much different. The result also affects the F1-score value. However, the result is still less than using the multiclass SVM method.

The experimental results using 100 trees in the Random Forest show quite good results. The values of precision and recall in each class are not much different. The result also affects the F1-score value. However, the result is still less than using the multiclass SVM method.

## D. Analysis and Discussion

The research uses three supervised learning methods to classify cases of COVID-19 disease through patients' chest X-Ray images. The supervised machine learning method is used for the classification process that already has a label. Based on the experimental results, the SVM method with a Polynomial kernel produces the best accuracy compared to the K-NN and Random Forest methods, with an accuracy of $90 \%$. However, the fastest method in the training process is K-NN. The training speed does not exceed 300 ms . In general, using the SVM method with a Polynomial kernel is also better than previous studies using deep learning [10], which results in an accuracy of $86.93 \%$.
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TABLE IV
Experiment Results Using Deep Learning Model.

| Deep learning model | Accuracy | Processing time |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| ResNet50 | $77.67 \%$ | $12 \min 16 \mathrm{~s}$ |
| DenseNet201 | $96.34 \%$ | $22 \min 19 \mathrm{~s}$ |
| EfficientNetB7 | $33.42 \%$ | $42 \min 57 \mathrm{~s}$ |
| CNN | $89.33 \%$ | $6 \min 39 \mathrm{~s}$ |

The research is proven to compensate for deep learning techniques that produce $90 \%$ accuracy with a training speed of 462 ms .

The research also compares various deep learning methods. It tests the ResNet50, DenseNet201, EfficientNetB7, and CNN models. The test results are shown in Table IV. From the four models, all training times are more than 1 minute, in contrast to the machine learning approach with under 1 second in the training process. In terms of accuracy, the best model is obtained using the DenseNet 201 model with an accuracy of $96.34 \%$. The result is not much different from the machine learning approach, which can still produce $90 \%$ with a faster training process. The machine learning method can produce quite an optimal accuracy because the amount of data used is not too much, only 1,500 chest X-Ray image data.

## IV. Conclusion

The COVID-19 pandemic is still not over. Several new variants of mutations even have appeared. World scientists have developed many rapid detection results from patients infected with this virus. One of the detections of COVID-19 infection is through chest XRay image data. Many researchers have developed this detection method using deep learning. The research evaluates the case study using a machine learning approach to achieve optimum accuracy and a fasttraining process.

Based on the results of experiments and research discussions, it is concluded that the method with the best accuracy is SVM with a polynomial kernel, with an accuracy of $90 \%$. Then, the method that produces the fastest time in the training process is the K-NN method, but the SVM method does not have too much difference in speed. Through the results of this study, machine learning methods can achieve optimal accuracy compared to deep learning because the amount of data is not too much.

Even though the resulting accuracy has reached $90 \%$, the result is still far from $100 \%$ accuracy. It indicates that there are still detection errors. When viewed in more detail, the recall and precision values in the COVID-19 and normal classes are still below
$90 \%$. Therefore, future research is suggested to combine feature extraction and other classification methods to achieve better accuracy. In the feature extraction method, it can use layers in deep learning. Then, for classification, it can use supervised learning.
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